

(016) 465-5047

July 17, 1978

Mr. A.

Dear Mr. [redacted]

We have reviewed your welfare exemption claim for contribution and property taxes filed for the 1973-74 fiscal year. We conclude your claim must be denied for the following reasons:

1. Property used, as is yours, to generate a fee through giving academic and other instruction is not a use embraced by the bounds of Section 214 of the Revenue and Taxation Code. Such use cannot be distinguished from any other property in the private sector used in a commercial non-charitable manner. Property used in commercial competition must bear its fair share of local taxes and thus does not qualify for exemptions from property taxes. (See *Community Information Systems, Inc. v. Sonoma County*, 44 Cal. App. 3d 23; *Idem v. Los Angeles County*, 35 Cal. 2d 760.)
2. Activities, as is yours, which are not sustained by substantial donations from the private sector, and which do not pass those donations on to the community as a gift, are not charitable activities within the meaning of Section 214 of the Revenue and Taxation Code (see *Martin Luther King v. County of Los Angeles*, 12 Cal. App. 3d 205). We calculate your reported donations to be only three tenths of one percent of your total income for the period 1972 through 1977. We reason such minor donations are not substantial with respect to income you receive from commercially generated fees. Furthermore, we find no indication that substantial gifts are being made to the community through scholarships, free classes or other.

-2-

JULY 17, 1970

Enclosed is copy of file which I am instructing Mr. Vance
and/or our appropriate personnel to furnish findings
concerning your love affair and other matters described above.

Very truly yours,

Robert R. Keeling
Tax Counsel

R.R.K:Ap